RECEIVED

DEC 0 5 1591

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

DEC - 4 1991

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

HUB LAW OFFICES

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL, et al.,

Petitioners,

V.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA,

Respondent,

and

VICKI J. AZNARAN, et al.,

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 91-70659

DC# CV-88-1786-JMI Central California

ORDER

c = c & , clicis

Before: FARRIS, POOLE and NORRIS, Circuit Judges

While reasonable minds might draw different inferences from the facts alleged in the motion for recusal, the court finds that the district court's order denying recusal was not clearly erroneous, and further that the harm alleged in the petition is correctable on appeal. See Bauman v. United States Dist. Ct., 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977); In re Cement Antitrust Litigation (MDL 296), 699 F.2d 1297, 1302 (9th Cir. 1982). Accordingly, the petition for writ of mandamus is denied. The motion to stay is denied as moot.